arXiv:math/0111092v1 [math.AG] 8 Nov 2001

QUIVER VARIETIES AND HILBERT SCHEMES

ALEXANDER KUZNETSOV

Abstract. In this note we give an explicit geometric description of some of the Nakajima's quiver varieties. More precisely, we show that the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and the Hilbert scheme $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ (where $X = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\Gamma \subset SL(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is a finite subgroup, and X_Γ is a minimal resolution of X/Γ) are quiver varieties for the affine Dynkin graph, corresponding to Γ via the McKay correspondence, the same dimension vectors, but different parameters ζ (for earlier results in this direction see [4, 12, 13]). In particular, it follows that the varieties $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ are diffeomorphic. Computing their cohomology (in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$) via the fixed points of $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-action we deduce the following combinatorial identity: the number $UCY(n, d)$ of uniformly coloured in d colours Young diagrams consisting of nd boxes coincides with the number $CY(n, d)$ of collections of d Young diagrams with the total number of boxes equal to n.

1. Introduction

The quiver varieties defined by Nakajima in [9] are of fundamental importance in algebraic and differential geometry, theory of representations and other branches of mathematics. They provide a rich source of examples of hyperkähler manifolds with very interesting geometry. For example, the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$, corresponding to an affine Dynkin graph, the dimension vector $\mathbf{v}^0$ given by the minimal positive imaginary root of the corresponding affine root lattice, and any generic parameter ζ is diffeomorphic to the minimal resolution X_Γ of the simple singularity X/Γ, where $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ and Γ is a finite subgroup in $SL(\mathbb{C}^2)$, corresponding to the graph via the McKay correspondence (see [8]). Furthermore, Nakajima proved that for some dimension vectors $\mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{w}$ and for generic ζ the regular locus $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ of the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is diffeomorphic to the framed moduli space of instantons on the 1-point compactification of $\mathfrak{M}_{-\zeta}(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$. So, it would be natural to hope that one can give an algebraic description of $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ as some moduli space of coherent sheaves on $\mathfrak{M}_{-\zeta}(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$. The main result of this note is a description of this kind in the case $\mathbf{v} = n\mathbf{v}^0$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^0$ —

I was partially supported by RFFI grants 99-01-01144 and 99-01-01204.

the simple root of the extending vertex 0 of the graph, and $\zeta = (0, \zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$ with either $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$, or $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n)$, where

$$\mathbf{C}_+ = \{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k > 0 \quad 0 \le k \le d-1\}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_-(n) = \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \;\middle|\; \frac{1}{n}\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k > \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^i \mathbf{v}_i^0 > 0 \quad 1 \le k \le d-1 \right\}$$

We prove that for $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ is isomorphic to the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme of points on the plane X. This fact is well known, see e.g. [13], [12]; we include a proof only for the sake of completeness. We also prove that for $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n)$ the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of points on X_Γ. After this paper was written H. Nakaijma kindly informed me that this fact was known to him (see [13] and [4]), but his arguments are different (see Remark 4.12). Our proof is based on an interpretation of quiver varieties as moduli spaces of representations of the corresponding double quivers suggested by Crawley-Boevey in [2].

The paper is organized as follows, In section 2 we recollect the necessary background: the definition of quiver varieties, representations of quivers and the construction of Crawley-Boevey. In section 3 we reproduce in a short form a geometric version of the McKay correspondence, based on investigation of X_Γ. We also prove here a generalization of the result of Kapranov and Vasserot [6]. More precisely, the authors of *loc. cit.* have constructed equivalences of (bounded) derived categories

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}_\Gamma(X)) \underset{\Psi}{\overset{\Phi}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X_\Gamma)) \ ,$$

where Coh stands for the category of coherent sheaves, and Coh_Γ stands for the category of Γ-equivariant coherent sheaves. We show that there is a whole family of equivalences

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}_\Gamma(X)) \underset{\Psi_{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}}{\overset{\Phi_{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X_\Gamma)) \ ,$$

which differ when parameters $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ lie in distinct chambers of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to roots hyperplanes. In section 4 we prove the main results of this paper, isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \cong \begin{cases} X^{\Gamma[n]}, & \text{for } \zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+ \\ X_\Gamma^{[n]}, & \text{for } \zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n) \end{cases}$$

where $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ is the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme on X, and $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ is the Hilbert scheme on X_Γ. It follows immediately from the general properties of quiver varieties that $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ are diffeomorphic.

We also prove a generalization of the first isomorphism in the Calogero-Moser context: we check that for arbitrary $\tau \neq 0$ and $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_{((\tau,\dots,\tau),\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \cong (\mathcal{CM}_{nN})^{\Gamma}_{reg},$$

where $N = |\Gamma|$, $\mathcal{CM}_{nN}$ is the Calogero-Moser space and $(\mathcal{CM}_{nN})^{\Gamma}_{reg}$ is the connected component of the set of Γ-fixed points, where the tautological bundle is a multiplicity of the regular representation.

Finally, in section 5 we consider $(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*)$-actions on $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X^{[n]}_{\Gamma}$ for cyclic $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. We show that these actions have only finitely many number of fixed points and that the number of fixed points equal to the dimension of the cohomology. Furthermore, we check that the fixed points on $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ are in a bijection with the set of uniformly coloured in d colours Young diagrams with dn boxes, and that the fixed points on $X^{[n]}_{\Gamma}$ are in a bijection with the set of collections of d Young diagrams with total number of boxes equal n. Recalling that $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X^{[n]}_{\Gamma}$ are diffeomorphic we obtain the following combinatorial identity (see [5] for a combinatorial proof)

$$UCY(n,d) = CY(n,d),$$

where UCY and CY denote the number of uniformly coloured diagrams and the number of collections of diagrams respectfully.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to V. Ginzburg for bringing quiver varieties into the area of my interests and to H. Nakajima for helpful comments. Also I would like to thank M. Finkelberg and D. Kaledin for fruitful discussions.

2. Quiver varieties

In this section we recollect the definition and the basic properties of quiver varieties. We will follow the notation of [9].

Definition. Choose an arbitrary finite graph and let H be the set of pairs, consisting of an edge together with an orientation on it. Let $in(h)$ (resp. $out(h)$) denote the incoming (resp. outgoing) vertex of $h \in H$. Let $\bar{h}$ denote the edge h with the reverse orientation. Further, we choose an orientation of the graph, that is a subset $\Omega \subset H$ such that $\Omega \cup \overline{\Omega} = H$, $\Omega \cap \overline{\Omega} = \emptyset$. We define $\varepsilon(h) = 1$ for $h \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon(h) = -1$ for $h \in \overline{\Omega}$. Finally, we identify the set of vertices of our graph with the set $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$.

Choose a pair of hermitian vector spaces V_k, W_k for each vertex of the graph and let

$$\mathbf{v} = (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_0, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{d-1}),\ \mathbf{w} = (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_0, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

be their dimension vectors. Then the complex vector space

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \left(\bigoplus_{h\in H} \mathrm{Hom}(V_{out(h)}, V_{in(h)})\right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \Big[\mathrm{Hom}(W_k, V_k) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, W_k)\Big]\right)$$

can be identified with the cotangent bundle of the hermitian vector space

$$\mathbf{M}_\Omega(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \left(\bigoplus_{h\in\Omega} \mathrm{Hom}(V_{out(h)}, V_{in(h)})\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \mathrm{Hom}(W_k, V_k)\right).$$

In particular, $\mathbf{M}$ can be considered as a flat hyper-Kähler manifold.

Note that the group $G_\mathbf{v} = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} U(V_k)$ acts on $\mathbf{M}$:

$$g = (g_k)_{k=0}^{n-1} : (B_h, i_k, j_k) \mapsto (g_{in(h)} B_h g_{out(h)}^{-1}, g_k i_k, j_k g_k^{-1}),$$

where $B_h \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_{out(h)}, V_{in(h)})$, $i_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(W_k, V_k)$, $j_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, W_k)$. This action evidently preserves the hyper-Kähler structure. The corresponding moment map $\mu = (\mu_\mathbb{R}, \mu_\mathbb{C})$ is given by the following explicit formulas

$$\mu_\mathbb{C}(B, i, j) = \left(\sum_{in(h)=k} \varepsilon(h) B_h B_{\bar{h}} + i_k j_k\right) \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

$$\mu_\mathbb{R}(B, i, j) = \frac{i}{2}\left(\sum_{in(h)=k} B_h B_h^\dagger - B_{\bar{h}}^\dagger B_{\bar{h}} + i_k i_k^\dagger - j_k^\dagger j_k\right) \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{u}(V_k) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v}$ is the Lie algebra of $G_\mathbf{v}$ which is identified with its dual space $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v}^*$ via the canonical hermitian inner product.

Let $Z_\mathbf{v} \subset \mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v}$ denote the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v}$. For any element $\zeta = (\zeta_\mathbb{C}, \zeta_\mathbb{C}) \in (Z_\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z_\mathbf{v}$ the corresponding quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta$ is defined as a hyper-Kähler quotient

$$\mathfrak{M}_\zeta = \mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \{(B, i, j) \mid \mu(B, i, j) = -\zeta\}/G_\mathbf{v}.$$

In general, $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta$ has singularities, however its open subset

$$\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\mathrm{reg}} = \left\{(B, i, j) \in \mu^{-1}(-\zeta) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\text{the stabilizer of } (B, i, j)\\ \text{in } G_\mathbf{v} \text{ is trivial}\end{array}\right\}\Big/ G_\mathbf{v}.$$

is a smooth hyper-Kähler manifold (but maybe empty).

Roots and genericity. The center $Z_{\mathbf{v}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{v}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{u}(V_k)$ is the product of the set of scalar matrices on V_k, thus it can be considered as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let A denote the adjacency matrix of the graph and let $C = 2I - A$ be the generalized Cartan matrix. Then we consider the set of positive roots

$$R_+ = \{\theta = (\theta_k) \in (Z_{\geq 0})^d \mid {}^t\theta C\theta \leq 2\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Further we denote

$$R_+(\mathbf{v}) = \{\theta \in R_+ \mid \theta_k \leq \mathbf{v}_k \text{ for all } k = 0, \dots, d-1\},$$

and for any positive root θ we consider a hyperplane

$$D_\theta = \{x = (x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \sum x_k\theta_k = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The element ζ is called generic (with respect to $\mathbf{v}$) if for any $\theta \in R_+(\mathbf{v})$ we have

$$\zeta \notin \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes D_\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^d \supset \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes Z_{\mathbf{v}} = (Z_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus Z_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

The importance of generic parameters ζ is explained by the following Theorem of Nakajima (see [9] and [2] for connectedness).

Theorem 2.1. *For any ζ, $\mathbf{v}$, and $\mathbf{w}$ the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is either empty, or nonemty and connected. Further, if ζ is generic then $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta$ is smooth and there is a canonical map $\pi_0 : \mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_\mathbb{C}, \zeta_\mathbb{R})} \to \mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_\mathbb{C}, 0)}$ which is a resolution of singularities, provided $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{reg}}_{(\zeta_\mathbb{C}, 0)}$ is nonempty. Finally, if both ζ and ζ' are generic then the varieties $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta'}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ are diffeomorphic.*

Representations of quivers. Recall that a quiver Q is a finite oriented graph. Let $I(Q)$ denote the set of vertices and $A(Q)$ denote the set of arrows of Q. For any arrow of the quiver $\alpha \in A(Q)$ we denote by $in(\alpha)$ and $out(\alpha)$ the incoming and outgoing vertex of α. A representation ρ of a quiver Q is the following datum:

$$\rho = (V, B),$$

where $V = (V_i)_{i \in I(Q)}$ is a collection of vector spaces for each vertex of the quiver, and $B = (B_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A(Q)}$ is a collection of linear maps $B_\alpha : V_{out(\alpha)} \to V_{in(\alpha)}$ for each arrow of the quiver. A morphism of representations

$$\phi : \rho = (V, B) \to \rho' = (V', B')$$

is a collection of linear maps $\phi_i : V_i \to V'_i$ for each vertex of the quiver, such that for any arrow α we have

$$\phi_{in(\alpha)} B_\alpha = B'_\alpha \phi_{out(\alpha)}.$$

The dimension of a representation $\rho = (V, B)$ is the collection of dimensions of the vector space V_i:

$$\dim \rho = (\dim V_i)_{i \in I(Q)}.$$

For any dimension vector $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_i)_{i \in I(Q)}$ let

$$\mathrm{Rep}_Q(\mathbf{v}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A(Q)} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{v}_{out(\alpha)}}, \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{v}_{in(\alpha)}})$$

the space of $\mathbf{v}$-dimensional representations of Q. The group

$$GL(\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{i \in I(Q)} GL(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbb{C})$$

acts on $\mathrm{Rep}_Q(\mathbf{v})$ by conjugation:

$$g = (g_i)_{i \in I(Q)} : (B_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A(Q)} \mapsto (g_{in(\alpha)} B_\alpha g_{out(\alpha)}^{-1}).$$

This action evidently factors through the quotient group

$$PGL(\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{C}) = GL(\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

where the embedding $\mathbb{C}^* \to GL(\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{C})$ takes $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ to the element $(\mathrm{diag}(\lambda))_{i \in I(Q)}$. Moreover, it is clear that the set of $PGL(\mathbf{v}, \mathbb{C})$ orbits in $\mathrm{Rep}_Q(\mathbf{v})$ is the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{v}$-dimensional representations of Q.

Let χ be a map $I(Q) \to \mathbb{R}$, $\chi(i) = \chi^i$ (so-called polarization). For any dimension vector $\mathbf{v}$ we define

$$\chi(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i \in I(Q)} \chi^i \mathbf{v}_i.$$

A representation ρ of a quiver Q is called χ-stable (resp. χ-semistable) if $\chi(\dim \rho) = 0$ and for any subrepresentation $\rho' \subset \rho$ such that $0 \neq \rho' \neq \rho$ we have $\chi(\dim \rho') > 0$ (resp. $\chi(\dim \rho') \geq 0$). Representations ρ and ρ' are called S-equivalent with respect to a polarization χ if both ρ and ρ' are χ-semistable and admit filtrations

$$0 = \rho_0 \subset \rho_1 \subset \cdots \subset \rho_n = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \rho'_0 \subset \rho'_1 \subset \cdots \subset \rho'_n = \rho'$$

such that $\chi(\dim \rho_i) = \chi(\dim \rho'_i) = 0$ for all i, and

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i/\rho_{i-1} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \rho'_i/\rho'_{i-1}.$$

The following Theorem has been proved in [7] and [10].

Theorem 2.2. *For any quiver Q, dimension vector $\mathbf{v}$ and polarization χ, such that $\chi(\mathbf{v}) = 0$, there exists a coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}od_Q(\mathbf{v}, \chi)$ of $\mathbf{v}$-dimensional χ-semistable representations of Q. Furthermore, if every χ-semistable $\mathbf{v}$-dimensional representation is χ-stable and the*

dimension vector $\mathbf{v}$ *is indivisible, then* $\mathcal{M}od_Q(\mathbf{v},\chi)$ *is a fine moduli space.*

Quivers with relations. Recall that the path algebra $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ of a quiver Q is an algebra with a basis given by (oriented) paths in quiver and with multiplication given by concatenation of paths. It is clear that a representation of a quiver $\rho = (V, B)$ is the same as the structure of a right $\mathbb{C}[Q]$-module on the vector space $\bigoplus_{i\in I(Q)} V_i$.

A quiver with relations is a pair (Q, J), where Q is a quiver and J is a two-sided ideal $J \subset \mathbb{C}[Q]$ in its path algebra. A representation of a quiver with relations (Q, J) is a representation ρ of its underlying quiver Q, such that the ideal J acts by zero in the corresponding right $\mathbb{C}[Q]$-module. In the other words, it is just a right $\mathbb{C}[Q]/J$-module. We denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v})$ the space of $\mathbf{v}$-dimensional representations of the quiver with relations (Q, J). It is clear that $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v})$ is a closed algebraic subset in the vector space $\mathrm{Rep}_Q(\mathbf{v})$.

It is easy to see that an analogue of Theorem 2.2 is true for quivers with relations.

Theorem 2.3. *For any quiver with relations* (Q, J)*, dimension vector* $\mathbf{v}$ *and polarization* χ*, such that* $\chi(\mathbf{v}) = 0$*, there exists a coarse moduli space* $\mathcal{M}od_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v},\chi)$ *of* $\mathbf{v}$*-dimensional* χ*-semistable representations of* (Q, J)*. Furthermore, if every* χ*-semistable* $\mathbf{v}$*-dimensional representation is* χ*-stable and the dimension vector* $\mathbf{v}$ *is indivisible, then* $\mathcal{M}od_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v},\chi)$ *is a fine moduli space.*

Remark 2.4. Let us fix the quiver (Q, J) and the dimension vector $\mathbf{v}$ and let χ vary in the space $D_{\mathbf{v}} = \{\chi \mid \chi(\mathbf{v}) = 0\}$. Then it is easy to see that the notion of χ-(semi)stability can change only when the polarization χ crosses a hyperplane $D_{\mathbf{v}'} \cap D_{\mathbf{v}} \subset D_{\mathbf{v}}$ for some $0 < \mathbf{v}' < \mathbf{v}$. Thus the space $D_{\mathbf{v}}$ decomposes by walls $D_{\mathbf{v}'} \cap D_{\mathbf{v}}$ into a union of chambers.

Remark 2.5. One of constructions of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}od_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v},\chi)$ is given in the terms of symplectic reduction. Namely, we can consider the representations space $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v})$ as a symplectic variety with respect to the Kähler form (corresponding to the flat Kähler metric on the vector space $\mathrm{Rep}_Q(\mathbf{v})$). Then compact algebraic group

$$PU(\mathbf{v}) = U(\mathbf{v})/U(1) = \left(\prod_{i\in I(Q)} U(\mathbf{v}_i)\right)/U(1)$$

(a real form of $PGL(\mathbf{v},\mathbb{C})$) acts on $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v})$ preserving the symplectic structure. Let $\mu : \mathrm{Rep}_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v}) \to \mathfrak{pu}(\mathbf{v})^*$ denote the corresponding

moment map. The polarization χ can be thought of as an element of the center of dual Lie algebra $\mathfrak{pu}(\mathbf{v})^*$. Then one can check (see e.g. [7]) that

$$\mathcal{M}od_{Q,J}(\mathbf{v},\chi) = \mu^{-1}(-\chi)/PU(\mathbf{v}).$$

Modular description of quiver varieties. The following construction, relating quiver varieties to the moduli spaces of representation of quivers was suggested in [2]. Assume that we are interested in a quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ corresponding to a graph with the set of vertices $\{0,\dots,d-1\}$ and with the set of oriented edges H. We define a quiver $Q = Q(\mathbf{w})$ (depending on the dimension vector $\mathbf{w}$) with the set of vertices

$$I(Q) = \{*\} \cup \{0,\dots,d-1\}$$

and with the following set of arrows. Firstly, we consider every oriented edge $h \in H$ as an arrow in the quiver with the same outgoing and incoming vertices. Secondly, for every $0 \le i \le d-1$ we draw $\mathbf{w}_i$ arrows from the vertex $*$ to the vertex i and backwards.

Then it is easy to see that a choice of a basis in spaces $W_0, \dots, W_{d-1}$ gives an identification

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w})}((1,\mathbf{v})).$$

Further, the expression $\mu_\mathbb{C} + \zeta_\mathbb{C}$ can be considered as an element of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}[Q(\mathbf{w})]$ of the quiver $Q(\mathbf{w})$. We denote by $J(\zeta_\mathbb{C})$ the two-sided ideal in $\mathbb{C}[Q(\mathbf{w})]$, generated by $\mu_\mathbb{C} + \zeta_\mathbb{C}$. Then the algebraic subvariety $\mu_\mathbb{C}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ gets identified with the algebraic subvariety $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_\mathbb{C})}((1,\mathbf{v})) \subset \mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w})}((1,\mathbf{v}))$:

$$\mu_\mathbb{C}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_\mathbb{C})}((1,\mathbf{v})). \tag{2.6}$$

Further, note that the quiver variety

$$\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \mu_\mathbb{C}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{C}) \cap \mu_\mathbb{R}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{R})/G_\mathbf{v}$$

is nothing but the symplectic reduction of the variety $\mu_\mathbb{C}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_\mathbb{C})}((1,\mathbf{v}))$ with respect to its canonical Kähler form. Finally, the group $G_\mathbf{v}$ acting on $\mu_\mathbb{C}^{-1}(-\zeta_\mathbb{C})$ is canonically isomorphic to the group $PU((1,\mathbf{v}))$ acting on $\mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_\mathbb{C})}((1,\mathbf{v}))$:

$$G_\mathbf{v} = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} U(\mathbf{v}_i) \cong \left(U(1) \times \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} U(\mathbf{v}_i)\right)/U(1),$$

and under this identificationthe element $\zeta_\mathbb{R} \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{v}$ goes to the polarization

$$\chi_\mathbf{v}(\zeta_\mathbb{R}) = (-\zeta_\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{v}), \zeta_\mathbb{R}) \in \mathfrak{pu}^*((1,\mathbf{v})). \tag{2.7}$$

Summing up and taking into account Remark 2.5 we obtain the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.8 (cf. [2])**.** *For any $(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$ we have an isomorphism of algebraic varieties*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}},\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}})}((1,\mathbf{v}),\chi_{\mathbf{v}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})). \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.10. The arguments of Remark 2.4 show that $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ depend on $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ as follows. The space $\mathbb{R}^n$ of all $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is decomposed by root hyperplanes (walls) into a union of chambers. Whenever $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ varies within a chamber the complex structure of $\mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}},\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ doesn't change at all (but the hyper-Kähler metric does), while when $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ crosses a wall $\mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}},\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ endures a (usually birational) transformation.

We will finish this section with the following useful Lemma.

Lemma 2.11. *If ζ is generic then $\mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}})}((1,\mathbf{v}),\chi_{\mathbf{v}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}))$ is a fine moduli space.*

Proof: Let $\chi = \chi_{\mathbf{v}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$. Since the dimension vector $(1,\mathbf{v})$ is evidently indivisible it suffices to check that every χ-semistable $(1,\mathbf{v})$-dimensional representation ρ is χ-stable. So assume that $\rho' \subset \rho$ is a χ-stable subrepresentation, such that $0 \neq \rho' \neq \rho$ and $\chi(\dim \rho') = 0$. We have two possibilities: either $\dim \rho' = (0,\mathbf{v}')$ or $\dim \rho' = (1,\mathbf{v}')$ for some $\mathbf{v}'$.

Assume for example that $\dim \rho' = (0,\mathbf{v}')$. Then we have

$$0 = \chi(\dim \rho') = (-\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}),\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(0,\mathbf{v}') = \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}').$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that ρ' is χ-stable. It follows from Theorem 0.2 of [2] that $(0,\mathbf{v}')$ is a positive root for the quiver $Q(\mathbf{w})$, hence $\mathbf{v}' \in R_+(\mathbf{v})$, hence $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in D_{\mathbf{v}'}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.8 the representation ρ' corresponds to a point $(B',0,0)$ of the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{v}',\mathbf{w})$, hence

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{v}') = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Tr(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Tr(-\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(B',0,0)) =$$

$$= -Tr\left(\sum_{h\in H} \varepsilon(h) B'_h B'_{\bar{h}}\right) = -Tr\left(\sum_{h\in\Omega} \left[B'_h, B'_{\bar{h}}\right]\right) = 0.$$

Thus $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes D_{\mathbf{v}'}$, a contradiction with the assumtion that ζ is generic.

Similarly, assume that $\dim \rho' = (1,\mathbf{v}')$. Consider the quotient representation $\rho'' = \rho/\rho'$. Then $\dim \rho'' = (0,\mathbf{v}'')$ with $\mathbf{v}'' = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}'$ and without loss of generality we can assume that ρ'' is χ-stable. Then

repeating the above arguments for ρ'' and $\mathbf{v}''$ instead of ρ' and $\mathbf{v}'$ we again deduce that ζ is not generic.

Thus we have proved that every χ-semistable representation is χ-stable, hence the moduli space is fine. □

3. The McKay correspondence

The McKay correspondence associates to every (conjugacy class of a) finite subgroup of the group $SL(\mathbb{C}^2)$ an affine Dynkin graph of type ADE. The graph corresponding to a subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(\mathbb{C}^2)$ can be constructed as follows. Let $R_0, \dots, R_{d-1}$ be the set of all (isomorphism classes of) irreducible representations of the group Γ, and assume that R_0 is the trivial representation. Let $L = \mathbb{C}^2$ be the tautological representation. Further, let $a_{k,l}$ be the multiplicities in the decomposition of the tensor product $R_k \otimes L$ into the sum of irreducible representations:

$$R_k \otimes L \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{d-1} R_l^{\oplus a_{k,l}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then the graph with the set of vertices $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$ and with $a_{k,l}$ edges between the vertices k and l is the corresponding affine Dynkin graph.

Quiver varieties for affine Dynkin graphs. From now on we will consider quiver varieties corresponding to an affine Dynkin graph. Let Γ be the corresponding subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Let d denote the number of irreducible representations of Γ (that is equal to the number of vertices in the graph), and let N be the order of the group Γ.

Let V and W be representations of Γ. Then

$$V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} V_k \otimes R_k, \qquad W = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} W_k \otimes R_k. \tag{3.2}$$

Then the dimension vectors

$$\mathbf{v} = (\dim V_0, \dots, \dim V_{d-1}), \quad \mathbf{w} = (\dim W_0, \dots, \dim W_{d-1}).$$

can be thought of as the classes of V and W in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\Gamma)$. Consider a triple

$$(B, i, j) \in \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(V \otimes L, V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(W, V) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(V, W)$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma$ denotes the space of all Γ-equivariant linear maps. Then from (3.2) and from

$$V \otimes L = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} V_k \otimes R_k \otimes L = \bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{d-1} V_k \otimes R_l^{\oplus a_{k,l}} = \bigoplus_{k,l=0}^{d-1} V_k^{\oplus a_{k,l}} \otimes R_l$$

it follows that a choice of (B,i,j) is equivalent to a choice of a collection $(B_h,i_k,j_k)\in\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})=\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(V\otimes L,V)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(W,V)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(V,W).$$

Further, it is easy to check that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(B,i,j)=[B,B]+ij,\qquad \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(B,i,j)=BB^\dagger-B^\dagger B+ii^\dagger-j^\dagger j,$$

where $[B,B]$, $BB^\dagger$, and $B^\dagger B$ are defined as the following compositions

$$\begin{aligned}
[B,B] &: V\xrightarrow{\lambda_L^{-1}}V\otimes L\otimes L\xrightarrow{B\otimes 1}V\otimes L\xrightarrow{B}V,\\
BB^\dagger &: V\xrightarrow{h_V}V^*\xrightarrow{B^*}V^*\otimes L^*\xrightarrow{h_V^{-1}\otimes h_L^{-1}}V\otimes L\xrightarrow{B}V,\\
B^\dagger B &: V\xrightarrow{B}V\otimes L^*\xrightarrow{h_V\otimes h_L^{-1}}V^*\otimes L\xrightarrow{B^*}V^*\xrightarrow{h_V^{-1}}V,
\end{aligned}$$

and λ_L, h_L and h_V stand for Γ-invariant symplectic form and hermitian inner products on L and V respectively. Further, we can consider the parameters $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ as elements of $Z(\mathbb{C}[\gamma])$ and $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{R}[\Gamma])$, the center of the group algebra of Γ over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively (element $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts in R_k as $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}^k$-multiplication). Finally, it is easy to see that $G_{\mathbf{v}}=U_\Gamma(V)$ and we obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}},\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})=\left\{(B,i,j)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{rcl}[B,B]+ij &=& -\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}\\ [B,B^\dagger]+ii^\dagger-j^\dagger j &=& -\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}\end{array}\right\}\Big/U_\Gamma(V).$$

Let $X=\mathbb{C}^2$ with tautological action of Γ and identify L with the space of linear functions on X.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [6], Pr. 3.4)**.** *For any point $(B,i,j)\in\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ such that $j=0$, the map B induces the structure of a Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module on V (where the linear functions on X act via B).*

Proof: We have

$$[B,B]=\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(B,i,j)-ij=0-ij=0,$$

hence the actions of linear functions on X commute. Therefore, they induce an action of $\mathbb{C}[X]$. The obtainde $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module structure on V is evidently Γ-equivariant. □

Combining this with (2.6) we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.5. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\{(V_\bullet,B,i,j)\in\mathrm{Rep}_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(0)}((1,\mathbf{v}))\mid j=0\}\cong$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Gamma\text{-equivariant }\mathbb{C}[X]\text{-module structures on }V=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1}V_k\otimes R_k\\ \text{with a framing } i\in\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(W,V)\end{array}\right\}$$

Abusing the notation we will denote by $V_\bullet$ the representation of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}), J(0))$ corresponding to a Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module V and Γ-morphism $i : W \to V$. Vice versa, the Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module, corresponding to a representation ρ of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}), J(0))$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)$.

Remark 3.6. In fact, one can reformulate Corollary 3.5 in terms of Morita equivalence. Let $e_* \in \mathbb{C}[Q(\mathbf{w})]$ denote the idempotent of the vertex $*$ (the path of length 0 with $*$ being the outgoing (and incoming) vertex). Then the algebra $\mathbb{C}[Q(\mathbf{w})]/\langle J(0), e_*\rangle$ is Morita-equivalent to the smash product algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]\#\Gamma$.

Resolutions of simple singularities. We fix a pair of dimension vectors:

$$\mathbf{v}^0 = (\dim R_0, \dim R_1, \dots, \dim R_{d-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}^0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Note that

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (\mathbf{v}^0_i)^2 = |\Gamma|. \tag{3.7}$$

We fix also the complex parameter $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ but let the real parameter $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ vary. The quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ are described by the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.8 (see [8])**.** *(i) The quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,0)}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ is isomorphic to the quotient variety X/Γ.*
(ii) For any generic $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ the quiver variety $X_\Gamma(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ is a minimal resolution of the quotient variety X/Γ via the canonical map $\pi_0 : \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \to \mathfrak{M}_{(0,0)}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$.

Remark 3.9. Actually, results of [8] concern varieties $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$ rather than $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$. However, it is easy to see that these varieties are canonically isomorphic. Indeed, arguing like in the proof of Lemma 3.14 below, one can check that for any point of $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ we have

$$j_0 i_0 = \sum \zeta^k_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{v}^0_k, \qquad i_0 i_0^\dagger - j_0^\dagger j_0 = -2\sqrt{-1} \sum \zeta^k_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{v}^0_k.$$

It follows that the map $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \supset \mu^{-1}(\zeta) \to \mu^{-1}(\zeta) \subset \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$, induced by the canonical projection $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \to \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{v}^0, 0)$ (forgeting of i_0 and j_0) is a principal $U(1)$-bundle. Moreover, this map is $G_{\mathbf{v}^0}$-equivariant and the action of $U(1)$ on fibers is nothing but the action of a subgroup $U(1) \subset G_{\mathbf{v}^0}$. Hence the quotients modulo $G_{\mathbf{v}^0}$ are canonically isomorphic.

Remark 3.10. In dimension 2 any two minimal resolutions necessarily coincide. Thus, we have a canonical identification $X_\Gamma(\zeta_\mathbb{R}) \cong X_\Gamma(\zeta'_\mathbb{R})$ for any generic $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta'_\mathbb{R}$, compatible with the projection to X/Γ. So, we can (and will) write X_Γ instead of $X_\Gamma(\zeta_\mathbb{R})$ without risk of misunderstanding.

Theorem 2.8 implies that for any $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ we have an isomorphism

$$X_\Gamma = \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(0)}((1,\mathbf{v}^0), \chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_\mathbb{R})),$$

while Lemma 2.11 implies that X_Γ is a fine moduli space whenever $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ is generic. This means that for any generic $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ we have a universal representation $\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$ over X_Γ, that is a collection of vector bundles $\underline{V}_k^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ over X_Γ, $k \in \{*\} \cup \{0,\dots,d-1\}$, which ranks are given by

$$\mathrm{r}(\underline{V}_*^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}) = 1, \qquad \mathrm{r}(\underline{V}_k^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbf{v}_k^0 \quad \text{for } k \in \{0,\dots,d-1\}, \tag{3.11}$$

and morphisms

$$\underline{B}_h^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} : \underline{V}_{out(h)}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \to \underline{V}_{in(h)}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}, \quad \underline{i}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} : \underline{V}_*^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \to \underline{V}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}, \quad \underline{j}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} : \underline{V}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \to \underline{V}_*^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$$

(recall the choice of $\mathbf{w}^0$), satisfying the equations

$$\begin{gathered} \textstyle\sum_{in(h)=k} \varepsilon(h) \underline{B}_h^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \underline{B}_{\bar{h}}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1,\dots,d-1 \\ \underline{i}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \underline{j}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} + \textstyle\sum_{in(h)=0} \varepsilon(h) \underline{B}_h^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \underline{B}_{\bar{h}}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} = 0, \end{gathered} \tag{3.12}$$

and such that for any $x \in X_\Gamma$ the corresponding representation

$$\underline{\rho}(x)^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} = (\underline{V}_{|x}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}, \underline{B}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}(x), \underline{i}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}(x), \underline{j}_0^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}(x))$$

of the quiver $Q(\mathbf{w}^0)$ is $\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_\mathbb{R})$-stable.

Remark 3.13. In fact, the family $\rho^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ doesn't change whenever $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ varies within a chamber.

Note that universal representation is defined up to a twist by a line bundle, so without loss of generality we may (and will) assume that $\underline{V}_*^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 3.14. *For any $(1,\mathbf{v})$-dimensional representation (V, B_h, i_0, j_0) of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$ we have $j_0 i_0 = 0$.*

Proof: Since $\dim V_* = 1$ it follows that

$$j_0 i_0 = Tr\, j_0 i_0 = Tr\, i_0 j_0.$$

On the other hand, summing up equations (3.12) and taking the trace we see that

$$Tr\, i_0 j_0 = \sum_{h\in\Omega} Tr[B_h, B_{\bar{h}}] + Tr\, i_0 j_0 = Tr\, \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(B_h, i_0, j_0) = 0.$$

□

Since $\mathrm{r}(\underline{V}_*^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}) = \mathrm{r}(\underline{V}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}) = 1$ by (3.11), it follows from Lemma 3.14 that for any $x \in X_\Gamma$ either we have $\underline{j}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}(x) = 0$, or $\underline{i}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}(x) = 0$. Assume that

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}) > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Then $\underline{i}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}(x) = 0$ would violate the $\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stability, because then $\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}(x)$ would admit a $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$-dimensional subrepresentation, but

$$\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(1, 0, \dots, 0) = (-\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}^0), \zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(1, 0, \dots, 0) = -\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}^0) < 0.$$

So it follows that when (3.15) holds we have $\underline{j}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} = 0$, and that $\underline{i}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is an embedding of line bundles, and hence an isomorphism. Thus we have proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.16. *For any generic $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying* (3.15) *there exists a universal $\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stable family on X_Γ such that*

$$\underline{V}_*^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cong \underline{V}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}, \qquad \underline{j}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \underline{i}_0^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} = \mathrm{id}.$$

Applying Corollary 3.5 we deduce.

Corollary 3.17. *For any generic $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that* (3.15) *holds,* $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}})$ *is a family of Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-modules over X_Γ.*

From now on we will denote by $\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}$ the universal representation normalized as in Lemma 3.16. Moreover, to unburden the notation we will omit the superscript $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ when it won't lead us to a confusion.

Let ρ_0 denote the unique $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$-dimensional representation of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}))$. It follows from Lemma 3.16 that for any x we have canonical surjective homomorphism $\underline{\rho}(x) \to \rho_0$. Let us denote its kernel by $\hat{\rho}(x)$.

Derived equivalences. For each generic $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ we define functors

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}_\Gamma(X)) \underset{\Psi_{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}}{\overset{\Phi_{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X_\Gamma)) \ ,$$

where $\mathrm{Coh}_\Gamma(X)$ denotes the category of Γ-equivariant coherent sheaves on X, $\mathrm{Coh}(X_\Gamma)$ denotes the category of coherenet sheaves on X_Γ, and $\mathcal{D}^b$ stands for the bounded derived category. To this end we consider the family $\mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}})$ of Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-modules as a sheaf on the

product $X_\Gamma \times X$. Denoting the projections to X_Γ and to X by p_1 and p_2 respectfully, we define

$$\Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}(F) = (Rp_{1*}R\mathcal{H}om(\mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}), p_2^*F))^G, \quad \text{and}$$
$$\Psi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}(F) = Rp_{2*}(p_1^*F \otimes^L \mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho}^{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}))$$

Theorem 3.18. *For any generic $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ the functors $\Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ are mutually inverse equivalences of categories.*

This Theorem is nothing but a slight generalization of Theorem 1.4 of Kapranov and Vasserot (see [6]). In fact, the equivalences Φ and Ψ from *loc. cit.* are isomorphic to the functors $\Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ with

$$\zeta_\mathbb{R} \in \{\zeta_\mathbb{R} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \zeta_\mathbb{R}^i < 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$$

The proof of this Theorem can be done by the same arguments as in *loc. cit.*

Remark 3.19. In fact, the equivalences $\Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ don't change whenever $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ varies within a chamber (see Remark 3.13).

Remark 3.20. For any pair of generic $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta'_\mathbb{R}$ the compositions of functors $\Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}} \cdot \Psi_{\zeta'_\mathbb{R}}$ and $\Psi_{\zeta'_\mathbb{R}} \cdot \Phi_{\zeta_\mathbb{R}}$ are autoequivalences of the derived categorie $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X_\Gamma))$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}_\Gamma(X))$ respectively. One can check that they generate the action of the affine braid group described in [11].

4. Interpretation of quiver varieties

Symmetric power of X/Γ. From now on we will be interesting in an explicit geometric description of quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ for $\zeta_\mathbb{C} = 0$, $\mathbf{v}^0$ and $\mathbf{w}^0$ as above and various n and $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$. We begin with the simplest case, $\zeta_\mathbb{R} = 0$. In fact, this is well known, but we put here a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.1. *We have $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,0)}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) = S^n(X/\Gamma)$, where S^n stands for the symmetric power.*

Proof: The case $n = 1$ follows from the first assertion of Theorem 3.8. So assume that $n > 1$.

It follows from Proposition 2.8 that it suffices to check that

$$\mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), 0) = S^n(X/\Gamma).$$

Fix some generic $\zeta_\mathbb{R}$. For a collection of points $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $x_i \in X/\Gamma$ we put

$$g_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \rho_0 \oplus \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_1) \oplus \ldots \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_n),$$

where $\tilde{x}_i \in \pi_0^{-1}(x_i) \in X_\Gamma(\zeta_\mathbb{R})$ are arbitrary lifts of the points x_i. Since every representation is semistable with respect to the trivial polarization $\chi = 0$, it follows that g_0 induces a map

$$g_0 : S^n(X/\Gamma) \to \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), 0).$$

To construct the inverse we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Any $(1, \mathbf{v})$-dimensional representation of $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$ is S-equivalent (with respect to $\chi = 0$) to a representation with $j = 0$.*

Proof: Let $\rho = (V_*, V_\bullet)$ be a $(1, \mathbf{v})$-dimensional representation of $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$. Let $B : V \otimes L \to V$, $i : W \to V$, and $j : V \to W$ be the corresponding Γ-equivariant morphisms. Let U be the minimal subspace of V such that $i(W) \subset U$ and $B(U \otimes L) \subset U$. Then U is invariant under the action of Γ, hence $U = \oplus U_l \otimes R_k$. Moreover, $(V_*, U_\bullet)$ is a subrepresentation of $(V_*, V_\bullet)$, thus

$$(V_*, V_\bullet) \overset{0}{\sim} (V_*, U_\bullet) \oplus (0, V_\bullet/U_\bullet),$$

where $\overset{0}{\sim}$ denotes S-equivalence with respect to $\chi = 0$. On the other hand, the arguments of [10], Lemma 2.8 show that $j = 0$ in the first summand in the RHS, and in the second summand $j = 0$ for trivial reasons. □

Now we need to construct a map inverse to g_0. Take arbitrary $\rho \in \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), 0)$. By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that $j = 0$ in ρ. Then $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)$ is a Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module. Regarding it as a Γ-equivariant coherent sheaf on X we define

$$f_0(\rho) = \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)) \in (S^{nN}X)^\Gamma = S^n(X/\Gamma).$$

Note that the definition of the map f_0 is correct. Indeed, assume that $\rho' \overset{0}{\sim} \rho$ and $j = 0$ in ρ'. Then ρ and ρ' admit filtrations with isomorphic associated factors (up to a permutation). These filtrations induce filtrations of sheaves $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)$ and $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho')$ with isomorphic associated factors. But then $\mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)) = \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Tot}(\rho'))$.

Now we have to check that the maps g_0 and f_0 are mutually inverse. More precisely, one have to check that

$$g_0(f_0(\rho)) \overset{0}{\sim} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad f_0(g_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (x_1, \dots, x_n). \tag{4.3}$$

Before we begin the proof let us note that from the explicit construction of isomorphisms in Theorem 3.8 it follows that for any point $\tilde{x} \in X_\Gamma$ we have

$$\mathrm{supp}\mathrm{Tot}(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{x})) = \pi_0(\tilde{x}).$$

Now, take $\rho \in \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1,n\mathbf{v}^0),0)$. By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that $j=0$ in ρ, hence $\rho \overset{0}{\sim} \rho_0 \oplus \hat{\rho}$. Now consider the sheaf $\mathrm{Tot}(\hat{\rho})$. It is a Γ-equivariant sheaf of finite length on X, hence it admits a filtration with associated factors being either the structure sheaves of a Γ-orbit corresponding to a point $0 \neq x_i \in X/\Gamma$, or a length 1 skyscraper sheaf supported at zero. This filtration induces a filtration on $\hat{\rho}$ with associated factors being either $\hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_i)$, with $\tilde{x}_i = \pi_0^{-1}(x_i)$, or ρ_k, where ρ_k is the unique representation with $\dim(\rho_k) = \mathbf{w}^k = (0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0)$ (1 stands on the k-th position). Thus

$$\rho \overset{0}{\sim} \rho_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_i)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} (\rho_k)\right)^{\oplus (n-m)\mathbf{v}_k^0} \tag{4.4}$$

Then

$$g_0(f_0(\rho)) = g_0(\mathrm{supp}\mathrm{Tot}(\rho)) = g_0(x_1,\dots,x_m,0,\dots,0) = \\ \rho_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_i)\right) \oplus \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_0)^{\oplus (n-m)\mathbf{v}_k^0},$$

where $\tilde{x}_0 \in \pi_0^{-1}(0)$. But decomposition (4.4) for the representation $\rho_0 \oplus \hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_0)^{\oplus (n-m)\mathbf{v}_k^0}$ gives

$$\hat{\rho}(\tilde{x}_0)^{\oplus (n-m)\mathbf{v}_k^0} \overset{0}{\sim} \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} (\rho_k)\right)^{\oplus (n-m)\mathbf{v}_k^0},$$

hence $g_0(f_0(\rho)) \overset{0}{\sim} \rho$, the first equality of (4.3) is proved.

Now, take $x_1,\dots,x_n \in X/\Gamma$. Then

$$f_0(g_0(x_1,\dots,x_n)) = f_0(\rho_0 \oplus \hat{\rho}(x_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{\rho}(x_n)) = \\ \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Tot}(\hat{\rho}(x_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathrm{Tot}(\hat{\rho}(x_n))) = \{x_1,\dots,x_n\}.$$

This completes the proof of the Proposition □

Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme. The action of the group Γ on X induces an action of Γ on the Hilbert scheme $X^{[nN]}$ of nN-tuples of points on X. Let $\left(X^{[nN]}\right)^{\Gamma}$ denote the Γ-invariant locus. Since the group Γ acts on the tautological bundle $\underline{V}$ on $X^{[nN]}$, the restriction of $\underline{V}$ to $\left(X^{[nN]}\right)^{\Gamma}$ decomposes into the direct sum of locally free sheaves indexed by the set of irreducible representations of Γ:

$$\underline{V}_{|\left(X^{[nN]}\right)^{\Gamma}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{d-1} \underline{V}_k \otimes R_k. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ denote the locus of $\left(X^{[nN]}\right)^{\Gamma}$, where the rang of every $\underline{V}_k$ equals $n\mathbf{v}_k^0$ (or, in the other words, where $\underline{V}$ is a multiplicity of the regular representation). It is clear that $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ is a union of some of the connected components of $\left(X^{[nN]}\right)^{\Gamma}$. We will reffer to $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ as the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme of X.

Denote by $\mathbf{C}_+$ the positive octant of $\mathbb{R}^d$, that is

$$\mathbf{C}_+ = \{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k > 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$$

Note that any ζ with $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ is generic (because its real component $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is stricktly positive) and any $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ satisfies the restriction (3.15).

The following Theorem is well known (see [13], [12]).

Theorem 4.6. *For any integer $n \geq 0$ and any $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_R)}(n \cdot \mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ is isomorphic to the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme $X^{\Gamma[n]}$.*

We begin with the following evident Lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let U be a Γ-equivariant finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module with a Γ-invariant vector $u \in U$ and let ρ be the corresponding $(1, \mathbf{u})$-dimensional representation of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$. Then ρ is $\chi_{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stable with $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_+$ iff U has no proper Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-submodule containing u.*

Proof: Assume that $u \in U' \subset U$ is a Γ-equivariant proper $\mathbb{C}[X]$-submodule. Let ρ' be the corresponding $(1, \mathbf{u}')$-dimensional representation of the quiver. Then ρ' is a subrepresentation in ρ and

$$\chi_{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(\rho') = (-\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{u}), \zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(1, \mathbf{u}') = \zeta_R(\mathbf{u}') - \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{u}) = -\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}') < 0,$$

since $\mathbf{u} > \mathbf{u}'$ and $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive. Hence ρ is unstable.

Similarly, assume that ρ is unstable. Then it contains a subrepresentation ρ' such that

$$\chi_{\mathbf{u}}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(\dim \rho') = (-\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{u}), \zeta_{\mathbb{R}})(\mathbf{u}'_*, \mathbf{u}') = \zeta_R(\mathbf{u}') - \mathbf{u}'_* \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{u}) < 0,$$

where $(\mathbf{u}'_*, \mathbf{u}') = \dim \rho'$. Since $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is positive the case $\mathbf{u}'_* = 0$ is impossible. Hence $\mathbf{u}'_* = 1$. This means that the subspace $\mathrm{Tot}(\rho') \subset \mathrm{Tot}(\rho) = U$ contains the vector u. On the other hand, it is a proper Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-submodule in U. □

Now we can prove the Theorem.

Proof: Recall that $\underline{V}$ carries the structure of a family of quotient algebras of the algebra of functions $\mathbb{C}[X]$, and in particular, of Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-module. In particular, the unit of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]$ induces a Γ-equivariant morphism $i : \mathcal{O} \to \underline{V}$. Applying relative analog of Corollary 3.5 we see that for $\underline{V}_* = \mathcal{O}$ we obtain on $(\underline{V}_*, \underline{V}_k)$ the

natural structure of a family of $(1, n\mathbf{v}^0)$-dimensional representations of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$.

Since $\underline{V}$ is a family of quotient algebras of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ it follows that it is pointwise generated by the image of $1 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ as a family of $\mathbb{C}[X]$-modules. In other words, it has no proper Γ-invariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-submodules containig the image of 1. Hence Lemma 4.7 implies $\chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stability of the family $(\underline{V}_*, \underline{V}_k)$. Thus, we obtain a map

$$f_+ : X^{\Gamma[n]} \to \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), \chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})) = \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0).$$

Similarly, let $\underline{\rho} = (\underline{V}_*, \underline{V}_k)$ be a universal family on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), \chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}))$. Twisting it by the line bundle $\underline{V}_*^*$ we can assume that $\underline{V}_* = \mathcal{O}$. Then Corollary 3.5 implies that $\mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho})$ is a family of Γ-equivariant $\mathbb{C}[X]$-modules, endowed with a morphism $i : \mathcal{O} \to \mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho})$. Furthermore, the $\chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stability of the family $\underline{\rho}$ with Lemma 4.7 imply that $\mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho})$ is in fact a family of quotient algebras of $\mathbb{C}[X]$, when $1 \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ goes to $i(1) \in \mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho})$. Since

$$\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{Tot}(\underline{\rho})) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \mathrm{r}(\underline{V}_k) \dim R_k = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (n\mathbf{v}_k^0)\mathbf{v}_k^0 = n \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (\mathbf{v}_k^0)^2 = nN$$

we obtain a map

$$g_+ : \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) = \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0),J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), \chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})) \to X^{[nN]}.$$

The Γ-equivariance of $\underline{V}$ implies that f_+ goes in fact to the Γ-invariant part of $X^{[nN]}$ and moreover to $X^{\Gamma[n]}$.

It remains to notice that the maps f_+ and g_+ are evidently mutually inverse. □

The Hilbert scheme of X_Γ. Let $K_n, \bar{K}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ denote the following finite sets of dimension vectors

$$K_n = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq 0} \mid \mathbf{v}_0\mathbf{v}^0 < \mathbf{v} < n\mathbf{v}^0\}$$
$$\bar{K}_n = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq 0} \mid \mathbf{v}_0\mathbf{v}^0 \leq \mathbf{v} \leq n\mathbf{v}^0\}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{C}_-(n) = \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \;\middle|\; \begin{cases} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}^0) > 0, \\ \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}) > n\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{v}^0), \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in K_n \end{cases} \right\}$$

is a convex polyhedral cone.

Lemma 4.8. *We have*

$$\mathbf{C}_-(n) = \{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \frac{1}{n} \min_{k=1}^{d-1} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k - \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k \mathbf{v}_k^0 > \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^0 > -\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k \mathbf{v}_k^0\}$$

In particular, the cone $\mathbf{C}_-(n)$ *is nonempty and for any* $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n)$ *we have* $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}^k > 0$ *for all* $1 \le k \le d-1$.

Proof: Easy. □

Theorem 4.9. *For any* $n \ge 0$ *and any* $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n)$ *the quiver variety* $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ *is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme* $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$.

Proof: Let $\underline{\rho} = \underline{\rho}^{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}} = (\underline{V}_*, \underline{V}_k, \underline{B}_h, \underline{i}_0, \underline{j}_0)$ be the universal family on X_Γ normalized as in Lemma 3.16. Let $Z \subset X_\Gamma$ be a length n subscheme in X_Γ and let $\mathcal{O}_Z$ be its structure sheaf. We associate to Z the following representation $\rho(Z) = (V_*(Z), V_k(Z), B(Z), i_0(Z), j_0(Z))$ of the quiver $Q(\mathbf{w}^0)$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(Z) &= \Gamma(X_\Gamma, \underline{V}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \qquad k = 0, \dots, d-1, \\ V_*(Z) &= \Gamma(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma}) \cong \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

with morphisms $B_h(Z) : V_{out(h)}(Z) \to V_{in(h)}(Z)$ induced by the maps $\underline{B}_h \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_Z} : \underline{V}_{out(h)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \to \underline{V}_{in(h)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z$, while $j_0(Z) = 0$ and $i_0(Z)$ is induced by the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma} \cong \underline{V}_0 \to \underline{V}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z,$$

where the first is the isomorphism of Lemma 3.16, while the second morphism is obtained from the canonical projection $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma} \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ by tensoring with $\underline{V}_0$.

Since Z is a length n subscheme of X_Γ and $\mathrm{r}\underline{V}_k = \mathbf{v}_k^0$ it follows that $V_k(Z)$ is an $n\mathbf{v}_k^0$-dimensional vector space for any $0 \le k \le d-1$. On the other hand, $V_*(Z)$ is 1-dimensional by definition. Hence $\rho(Z)$ is $(1, n\mathbf{v}^0)$-dimensional reresentation of the quiver $Q(\mathbf{w}^0)$. Further, it follows from (3.12) and Lemma 3.14 that $\rho(Z)$ satisfies the relations $J(0)$. Now we want to check that $\rho(Z)$ is $\chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stable for any $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{C}_-(n)$. We begin with the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.10. *If* $\rho' \subset \rho(Z)$ *is a subrepresentation and* $\rho' \ne \rho(Z)$ *then we have*

$$\dim \rho' = (0, \mathbf{v}) \text{ with } \mathbf{v} \in \bar{K}_n, \text{ or } \dim \rho' = (1, \mathbf{v}) \text{ with } \mathbf{v} \in K_n.$$

Proof: Choose a chain of subschemes

$$Z = Z_n \supset Z_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset Z_1 \supset Z_0 = \emptyset,$$

such that Z_i is a length i subscheme. This chain of subschemes induces a chain of surjections of structure sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_{Z_n} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_{n-1}} \to \cdots \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_0} = 0,$$

and of representations of quivers

$$\rho(Z) = \rho(Z_n) \to \rho(Z_{n-1}) \to \cdots \to \rho(Z_1) \to \rho(Z_0) = \rho_0. \tag{4.11}$$

Note that for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{x_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_{i-1}} \to 0,$$

for some $x_i \in X_\Gamma$, which induces exact sequence of representations

$$0 \to \hat{\rho}(x_i) \to \rho(Z_i) \to \rho(Z_{i-1}) \to 0.$$

Now assume that ρ' is a subrepresentation in $\rho(Z)$. Let ρ'_i denote the image of ρ' in $\rho(Z_i)$ with respect to the surjection (4.11). Then (4.11) induces a chain of surjections

$$\rho' = \rho'_n \to \rho'_{n-1} \to \cdots \to \rho'_1 \to \rho'_0 = \rho_0.$$

Let ρ''_i denote the kernel of the map $\rho'_i \to \rho'_{i-1}$. Then ρ''_i is a subrepresentation in $\hat{\rho}(x_i)$, hence $\dim \rho''_i = (0, \mathbf{u}^i)$ for some dimension vector $\mathbf{u}^i \le \mathbf{v}^0$. Since $\mathbf{v}^0_0 = 1$, it follows that either $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = 0$ or $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = 1$.

Assume that $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = 1$. Then it is easy to see that we can extend the subrepresentation $\rho''_i \subset \hat{\rho}(x_i)$ to $(1, \mathbf{u}^i)$-dimensional subrepresentation $\widetilde{\rho''_i} \subset \rho(x_i)$. Now, note that if $\mathbf{u}^i < \mathbf{v}^0$ then since $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = \mathbf{v}^0_0$ and since $\zeta^k_\mathbb{R} > 0$ for all $1 \le k \le d-1$ by Lemma 4.8, it follows that

$$\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_\mathbb{R})(1, \mathbf{u}^i) = (-\zeta_\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{v}^0), \zeta_\mathbb{R})(1, \mathbf{u}^i) < (-\zeta_\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{v}^0), \zeta_\mathbb{R})(1, \mathbf{v}^0) = 0,$$

which contradicts the $\chi_{\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_\mathbb{R})$-stability of $\rho(x_i)$. Thus we have proved that if $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = 1$ then $\mathbf{u}^i = \mathbf{v}^0$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{u}^i_0 = 0$ then certainly $\mathbf{u}^i \ge 0$. Thus in both cases we have

$$\mathbf{u}^i \ge \mathbf{u}^i_0 \mathbf{v}^0.$$

Summing up these inequalities from $i = 1$ to n we see that

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{u}^i \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{u}^i_0 \mathbf{v}^0 = \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{v}^0,$$

hence $\mathbf{v} \in \bar{K}_n$.

It remains to check that if $\dim \rho' = (1, \mathbf{v})$ then $\mathbf{v} \in K_n$. So, assume that it is not true. Then $\mathbf{v} = m\mathbf{v}^0$ for some $0 \le m \le n$. Let us check that the case $m < n$ is impossible.

For this we will use induction in n. The base of induction, $n = 0$, is trivial. So assume that $n > 0$, $Z \subset X_\Gamma$ is a length n subscheme,

and that $\rho' \subset \rho(Z)$ is a $(1, m\mathbf{v}^0)$-dimensional subrepresentation with $m < n$. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \rho''_n & \longrightarrow & \rho' & \longrightarrow & \rho'_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{\rho}(x_n) & \longrightarrow & \rho(Z) & \longrightarrow & \rho(Z_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where ρ''_n and ρ'_{n-1} was defined above. Denote

$$\dim \rho''_n = (0, \mathbf{u}), \qquad \dim \rho'_{n-1} = (1, \mathbf{v}').$$

Then the above arguments show that

$$\mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{u}_0 \mathbf{v}^0, \qquad \mathbf{v}' \geq \mathbf{v}'_0 \mathbf{v}^0.$$

But since $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}' = m\mathbf{v}^0 = (\mathbf{u}_0 + \mathbf{v}'_0)\mathbf{v}^0$ it follows that

$$\mathbf{u} = m''\mathbf{v}^0, \qquad \mathbf{v}' = m'\mathbf{v}^0, \qquad m' + m'' = m.$$

In particular, $\rho(Z_{n-1})$ contains a $(1, m'\mathbf{v}^0)$-dimensional subrepresentation. The induction hypothesis for Z_{n-1} then implies that $m' = n - 1$. On the other hand, we have $m'' = 1$ or $m'' = 0$. In the first case we have $m = n$, a contradiction. In the second case, it follows that $\rho' = \rho(Z_{n-1})$, hence the exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{\rho}(x_n) \to \rho(Z) \to \rho(Z_{n-1}) \to 0$$

splits. Comparing the definition of $\rho(Z)$ with the definition of the equivalence $\Psi_{\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}}$, we see that the splitting of the above sequence implies that the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{x_n} \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_{n-1}} \to 0,$$

also splits, and that this splitting is compatible with the projections $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma} \to \mathcal{O}_Z$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Gamma} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z_{n-1}}$. But this means that

$$J_{Z_{n-1}} \cong J_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_n},$$

which is false. Thus we again come to a contradiction, and the Lemma is proved. □

Now we can finish the proof of the Theorem. The $\chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stability of the representation $\rho(Z)$ follows immediately form the definition of the cone $\mathbf{C}_-(n)$ and from Proposition 4.10. Thus $\rho(Z)$ form a family of $(1, n\mathbf{v}^0)$-dimensional $\chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})$-stable representations of the quiver $(Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0))$ over the Hilbert scheme $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$. This family induces a map

$$g_- : X_\Gamma^{[n]} \to \mathcal{M}od_{Q(\mathbf{w}^0), J(0)}((1, n\mathbf{v}^0), \chi_{n\mathbf{v}^0}(\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})) = \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_{\mathbb{R}})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0).$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the map fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\Gamma^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{g_-} & \mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_\mathbb{R})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ S^n(X/\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{g_0} & \mathfrak{M}_{(0,0)}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is the composition of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $X_\Gamma^{[n]} \to S^n(X_\Gamma)$ with the map induced by the projection $\pi_0 : X_\Gamma \to X/\Gamma$. Since both vertical maps are birational, and g_0 is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.1 it follows that g_- is a regular birational map. On the other hand, both $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_\mathbb{R})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0)$ are holomorphically symplectic varieties, hence any regular birational map between them is an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.12. H. Nakaijma indicated to me the direction of his arguments. He constructs a map $\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_\mathbb{R})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \to X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ (note that the direction is opposite to that of the map g_-) using certain complex and utilizing the stability condition to ensure that certain cohomology groups vanish.

Combining Theorems 4.6 and 4.9 with Theorem 2.1 we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *The Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ is diffeomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$. In particular, we have an isomorphism of cohomology groups*

$$H^\bullet(X^{\Gamma[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^\bullet(X_\Gamma^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Remark 4.14. It is also easy to deduce that for any

$$\zeta_\mathbb{R} \in \{\zeta_\mathbb{R} \mid \zeta_\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{v}^0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_\mathbb{R}^k > 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \le k \le d-1\}$$

we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_{(0,\zeta_\mathbb{R})}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0) \cong S^n(X_\Gamma).$$

Generalizations: the Calogero-Moser space. Let Γ be a finite subgroup in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ its central subgroup. Let $\mathfrak{M}^\Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\Gamma'}$ denote the quiver varieties corresponding to the affine Dynkin graphs of Γ and Γ' respectively.

Since Γ' is central in Γ the embedding $\Gamma' \to \Gamma$ induces embeddings

$$\sigma_\mathbb{C}^* : Z(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma']) \to Z(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]), \qquad \sigma_\mathbb{R}^* : Z(\mathbb{R}[\Gamma']) \to Z(\mathbb{R}[\Gamma]).$$

Remark 4.15. In terms of the Dynkin graphs the embedding σ^* can be described as follows. Let I and I' be the sets (of isomorphism classes) of irreducible representations of Γ and Γ' respectively. In other words, I and I' are the sets of vertices of the corresponding affine Dynkin graphs. Consider an irreducible Γ-module R_i^Γ, $i \in I$. Since Γ' is central it follows from the Schur Lemma that the restriction $(R_i^\Gamma)_{|\Gamma'}$ is a multiplicity of an irreducible representation of the group Γ', say $R_{i'}^{\Gamma'}$, $i' \in I'$. So, associating this way to arbitrary vertex $i \in I$ the vertex $i' \in I'$ we obtain a map $\sigma : I \to I'$. The map σ^* is induced by σ.

Let $N = |\Gamma/\Gamma'|$ denote the index of Γ' in Γ. Choose arbitrary generic $\zeta \in Z(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma']) \oplus Z(\mathbb{R}[\Gamma'])$. Let also W be an arbitrary representation of Γ, let W' be the restriction of W to Γ' and let V' be an arbitrary representation of Γ'. Let $\mathbf{v}'$, $\mathbf{w}'$ and $\mathbf{w}$ denote the classes of V', W' and W in the Grothendieck ring of Γ' and Γ respectively (i.e. their dimension vectors).

Recall that by Lemma 3.3 the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')$ coincides with the set of all triples

$$(B, i, j) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma'}(V' \otimes L, V') \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma'}(W', V') \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma'}(V', W')$$

such that

$$[B, B] + ij = -\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad [B, B^\dagger] + ii^\dagger - j^\dagger j = -\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and modulo action of $U_{\Gamma'}(V')$. We define for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ another triple

$$B^\gamma = B \cdot (1 \otimes \gamma) : V \otimes L \xrightarrow{1\otimes\gamma} V \otimes L \xrightarrow{B} V, \quad i^\gamma = i \cdot \gamma, \quad j^\gamma = \gamma^{-1} \cdot j.$$

Since Γ commutes with Γ', it follows that $(B^\gamma, i^\gamma, j^\gamma)$ gives another point of $\mathfrak{M}$, hence the correspondence $B \mapsto B^\gamma$ defines an action of the group Γ on the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}^\Gamma$ denote the fixed points set of Γ on $\mathfrak{M}$.

Take arbitrary $(B, i, j) \in \mathfrak{M}^\Gamma$. Then (B, i, j) and $(B^\gamma, i^\gamma, j^\gamma)$ should be conjugated under the action of $U_{\Gamma'}(V')$. Hence there exists $g_\gamma \in U_{\Gamma'}(V')$ such that

$$g_\gamma B g_\gamma^{-1} = B^\gamma, \quad g_\gamma i = i^\gamma, \quad j g_\gamma^{-1} = j^\gamma. \tag{4.16}$$

Moreover, when ζ is generic such g_γ is unique (because the action of $U_{\Gamma'}(V')$ is free in this case). It follows that $\gamma \mapsto g_\gamma$ defines an action of Γ on V' extending the action of Γ'.

Now let V be an arbitrary representation of Γ, such that its restriction to Γ' is isomorphic to V'. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be its class in the Grothendieck ring of Γ. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{v}}^\Gamma$ denote the locus of the set $\mathfrak{M}^\Gamma$, where the defined above structure of a representation of Γ on V' is isomorphic to V. Then we have.

Theorem 4.17. *For any generic* $\zeta \in Z(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma']) \oplus Z(\mathbb{R}[\Gamma'])$, $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in K_0(\Gamma)$ *let* $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}_{|\Gamma'}$, $\mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w}_{|\Gamma'}$. *Then we have*

$$\left(\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')\right)_{\mathbf{v}}^{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma^*\zeta}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

Proof: Note that the equations (4.16) mean that the triple (B, i, j) is Γ-equivariant with respect to the action $\gamma \to g_\gamma$ of the group Γ on V and its canonical actions on W and L. Choose an arbitrary Γ-equivariant isomorphism $V' \to V$. Then

$$(B, i, j) \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(V \otimes L, V) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(W, V) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(V, W).$$

Furthermore, it follows from the definition of σ^* that

$$[B, B] + ij = -\sigma_{\mathbb{C}}^* \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad [B, B^\dagger] + ii^\dagger - j^\dagger j = -\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}^* \zeta_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Thus we obtain a map

$$\left(\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')\right)_{\mathbf{v}}^{\Gamma} \to \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma^*\zeta}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

Similarly, for any $(B, i, j) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma^*\zeta}^{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ forgetting the Γ-structure on V and W we can consider it as a point of $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')$. Thus we obtain the inverse map. □

Consider the case $\Gamma' = \{1\}$, $W = R_0$, $W' = \mathbb{C}$, $V' = \mathbb{C}^{nN}$. Then the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')$ coincides with the Hilbert scheme $X^{[nN]}$ when $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ and with the so-called Calogero-Moser space $\mathcal{CM}_{nN}$ when $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} \neq 0$. Thus in the case $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$, Theorem 4.17 specializes to Theorem 4.6, and in the case $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} = \tau \neq 0$ we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.18. *For any* $\tau \neq 0$ *and* $n \geq 0$ *we have*

$$(\mathcal{CM}_{nN})_{n\mathbf{v}^0}^{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{M}_\zeta^{\Gamma}(n\mathbf{v}^0, \mathbf{w}^0),$$

where $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}} = (\tau, \dots, \tau)$ *and* $\zeta_{\mathbb{R}}$ *is arbitrary.*

5. Combinatorial applications

From now on assume that $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ (the $\widetilde{A}_{d-1}$-case). Then both X and X_Γ admit a Γ-equivariant action of the torus $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The first action is a coordinatewise dilation, and the second is induced by the first one. These actions induce actions on the Hilbert schemes $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$. In both cases, there are only finite number of fixed points. Now we will give their combinatorial description.

Let us consider a Young diagram as a domain in the top right-hand octant of the coordinate plane. We associate to a box of a diagram the coordinates of its bottom left-hand corner. Thus the coordinates of any box are nonnegative integers. We denote by (p, q) the box with

the coordinates (p, q). A Young diagram Δ is called *uniformly coloured in d colours* if the integer

$$n_i(\Delta) = \#\{(p,q) \in \Delta \mid p - q \equiv i \pmod d\}, \qquad 0 \le i \le d-1$$

(the number of boxes of the colour i) doesn't depend on i. One can say that we colour the diagonals of the digram Δ periodically in d colours and call the diagram uniformly coloured if it has equal number of boxes of each colour. Let $UCY(n, d)$ denote the number of uniformly coloured in d colours Young diagrams with n boxes of each colour.

Lemma 5.1. *The $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points on the Γ-equivariant Hilbert scheme $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ are in a $1-1$ correspondence with uniformely coloured in d colours Young diagrams with n boxes of each colour.*

Proof: Note that by definition $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ is a connected component of $(X^{[dn]})^\Gamma$. The fixed points on $X^{[dn]}$ are numbered by Young diagrams with dn boxes. All these points are Γ-invariant (because the action of Γ factors through the torus action), so it remains to understand, which of these points lie in the component.

Note that the fiber of the tautological bundle over $X^{[dn]}$ at the $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-fixed point corresponding to a Young diagram Δ is isomorphic as a Γ-module to the representation $\oplus_{(p,q)\in\Delta} R_{p-q}$. In particular, it is a multiplicity of the regular representation iff Δ is uniformly coloured in d colours. □

Let $CY(n, d)$ be the number of all ordered collections $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_d)$ of Young diagrams such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} |\Delta_k| = n,$$

where $|\Delta|$ is the number of boxes in Δ.

Lemma 5.2. *The $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-fixed points on the Hilbert scheme $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ are in a $1-1$ correspondence with ordered collections $(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_d)$ of Young diagrams, such that $\sum_{k=1}^{d} |\Delta_k| = n$.*

Proof: First note that the number of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ fixed points on X_Γ equals d. It follows for example, from the evident fact, that $X_\Gamma = X^{\Gamma,1}$, and $UCY(1, d) = d$. Further, denoting these points by $x_1, \dots, x_d$ it is easy to see that Z is a fixed point on $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ iff it splits as a union $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_d$, where Z_i is a $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$-invariant length n_i-subscheme in X_Γ with support at x_i. Linearizing the action of the torus in a neighbourhood of $x_i \in X_\Gamma$ we see that such Z_i are numbered by Young diagrams Δ_i with $|\Delta_i| = n_i$. Finally, the condition $Z \in X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ is just $\sum_{i=1}^{d} n_i = n$, hence the Lemma. □

Theorem 5.3. *For any $n, d > 0$ we have $UCY(n,d) = CY(n,d)$.*

Remark 5.4. See [5] for the combinatorial proof of this identity.

Proof: Using the arguments of Nakajima (see [10], Chapter 5) one can check that the dimension of the cohomolgy groups of the Hilbert schemes $X^{\Gamma[n]}$ and $X_\Gamma^{[n]}$ are equal to the number of the fixed points with respect to the torus action. Thus

$$H^\bullet(X^{\Gamma[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{UCY(n,d)}, \qquad H^\bullet(X_\Gamma^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{CY(n,d)}$$

and the Theorem follows from Corollary 4.13. □

References

[1] V. Baranovsky, V. Ginzburg, A. Kuznetsov, *Quiver varieties and a noncommutative* $\mathbb{P}^2$, to appear in Comp. Math.

[2] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers*.

[3] W. Crawley-Boevey, M. Holland, *Noncommutative defformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), 605–635.

[4] M. Haiman, *Hilbert schemes as quiver varieties*, http://www.math.berkeley.edu∼hausel/quiver/seminar10.html

[5] G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications, **16** (1981).

[6] M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot, *Kleinian singularities, derived categories and Hall algebras*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), 565–576.

[7] A.D. King, *Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras*, Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser. 45, **180** (1994), 515–530.

[8] P. Kronheimer, *The construction of ALE spaces as a hyper-Kähler quotients*, J. Diff. Geom. **29** (1989), 665–683.

[9] H. Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), 365–416.

[10] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*.

[11] P. Seidel, R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, math.AG/0001043.

[12] M. Varagnolo, E. Vasserot, *On the K-theory of the cyclic quiver variety*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **18** (1999), 1005–1028.

[13] W. Wang, *Algebraic structures behind Hilbert schemes and wreath products*, math.QA/0011103.

Institute for Problems of Information Transmission, Russian Academy of Sciences, 19 Bolshoi Karetnyi, Moscow 101447, Russia

E-mail address: sasha@kuznetsov.mccme.ru